

## PROGRAM INTEGRITY REQUEST FOR REGULATION INTERPRETATION

**INSTRUCTIONS:** Complete items 1 -10 of the form. Use a separate form for each policy interpretation request. Retain a copy of the Word Document for your records, and submit via email to: [PIBPolicy@dss.ca.gov](mailto:PIBPolicy@dss.ca.gov).

1. REQUESTOR NAME:	5. COUNTY: Santa Cruz
2. PHONE NO: EMAIL:	6. SUBJECT: Non-Compliance with IEVS Requirement
3. REGULATION CITE(S):	7. REFERENCES: (ACLs/ACINs, COURT CASES Etc.)
4. DATE OF REQUEST: 04/08/2016	8. DATE RESPONSE NEEDED: 02/15/2017

### 9. QUESTION: (INCLUDE SCENARIO IF NEEDED FOR CLARITY):

If the case is still open at the time of IFD processing, I understand that we can close the CF portion of the case (NACF and PACF) for non-compliance with IEVS requirement. But if the client comes in to re-apply at a future date, do those verifications become "pending verifs" for the new application, even if those old verifications do not affect current eligibility? And if the case is already closed by the time we realize the client has not complied, is it okay to go ahead and enter the Non-Compliance with IEVS Requirement record in CalWIN for the eventual possibility that the client may re-apply at some future date? And, again, would these old verifs be "pending verifs" for the new application, - continued below -

### 10. REQUESTOR'S PROPOSED ANSWER:

If the discontinuance is due to non-compliance with IEVS requirement, the match becomes pending verification and the applicant must provide the needed verification in order to clear that discrepancy before being determined eligible for CalFresh per MPP Section 63-300.22. (Attached)

### 11. CDSS RESPONSE:

Based on the scenario above, CDSS concurs with the county's response.

PROGRAM INTEGRITY ANALYST: Christopher Daniels	APPROVING MANAGER: Jaime Aguirre
DATE: 04/08/2016	DATE: 03/09/2017

DATE RESPONSE RECEIVED/LOG # (CDSS Use Only):

4/8/16 - PI 16-07

Please note: The policies expressed in this response are based on the unique set of facts presented and should not be presumed to apply in other situations.